	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/718,060	LETTS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rebecca L. Anderson	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u>		
(1) Rebecca L. Anderson.	(3)	
(2) <u>Belinda M. Lew Ph.D.</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 11 January 2007	Time: <u>10:19am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 54		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Kela Anda		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to add an "and" before the last listed compound of claim 54 and to shorten the abstract by deleting the subject matter beginning with the first new sentence on line 10 of the abstract. Also, applicants' representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to add a period to the sentence that ends on line 10 of the abstract..